

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127807
Title of the Manuscript:	Needs Analysis in ESP Context for Yemeni Medical Students at Dhamar University
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript investigates the English language needs of medical students at Dhamar University in Yemen. It employs a needs analysis approach to identify the skills and areas students require for academic success. The research offers valuable insights and recommendations for improving the existing ESP program. However, there is room for improvement in terms of clarity, structure, and referencing.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate and accurately reflects the content of the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<p>The abstract is generally comprehensive, providing a clear overview of the research question, methodology, and key findings. However, it could be improved by:</p> <p>Additions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Contextual Information: Briefly mention the broader context of English language education in Yemen, particularly in the medical field. This could highlight the specific challenges faced by Yemeni medical students. Limitations: Acknowledge the limitations of the study, such as the small sample size or reliance on self-reported data. Future Research Directions: Suggest potential avenues for future research, such as longitudinal studies or comparative analyses with other universities. <p>Overall, the abstract provides a solid foundation for understanding the study. By incorporating the suggested additions, it can be further enhanced to provide a more comprehensive and informative overview of the research.</p>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Overall, the manuscript appears to be scientifically sound, but further scrutiny by experts in the field is necessary to ensure its accuracy and validity.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>The reference list appears to be sufficient and includes relevant sources. However, it's important to ensure that the references are up-to-date and relevant to the specific context of the study.</p> <p>Here are some suggestions for additional references that could strengthen the paper:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Recent studies on ESP needs analysis in medical contexts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Search for recent articles in journals like <i>English for Specific Purposes</i>, <i>System</i>, <i>Journal of English for Academic Purposes</i>, and <i>International Journal of Applied Linguistics</i>. Look for studies that have examined the specific needs of medical students in similar contexts, especially in developing countries. Theoretical frameworks for needs analysis: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Include references to key theoretical frameworks in needs analysis, such as those proposed by Munby (1978), Hutchinson and Waters (1987), and Breen and Candlin (1987). Studies on the impact of ESP on medical students' learning and performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider including studies that have investigated the effectiveness of ESP courses in 	

Review Form 3

	improving medical students' language proficiency and academic performance. By incorporating these additional references, the paper can provide a more comprehensive and up-to-date review of the literature.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication. However, there are areas where the language could be improved to enhance clarity and conciseness. Some specific suggestions include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sentence Structure: Some sentences may be overly complex or convoluted. Breaking them down into shorter, more direct sentences can improve readability. • Word Choice: Using more precise and concise language can strengthen the argument. • Grammar and Punctuation: While the overall grammar and punctuation are correct, there are a few minor errors that could be corrected. • Academic Style: The article could benefit from a more formal and academic tone. Avoid using contractions and colloquialisms. <p>It is recommended that the authors consider seeking professional language editing services to polish the language and ensure that the article meets the highest standards of academic writing.</p>	
Optional/General comments	This article provides a valuable foundation for improving the ESP program at Dhamar University. The research design and findings are clear and informative. However, the recommendations could be strengthened by further considering the needs of all stakeholders and potentially de-emphasizing grammar instruction.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Naga Dhana Lakshmi
Department, University & Country	India