

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_129318
Title of the Manuscript:	Experiment on The Influence of Music on Memory Recall
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Music has long been treated as a good instrument to help with cognitive tasks. From this perspective, this research looks important to fill the gap between pre-existing research and the nature to be discovered.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes, but it could have been more specific by stating the emotional congruency between the music and the story.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Replace "Place and Duration of Study:</b> University of Mindanao-Digos College, Department of Teacher Education, from `November to December 2024." With a short description <b>Add:</b> reflections on the findings.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.</b>	<b>Manuscript:</b> Does this writing follow APA style? If so, there are a number of formatting errors. If not, I hope the editors will verify it.  <b>Research Validity:</b> It is impossible to definitively say whether the findings are correct. We can only assess the validity and reliability of the research. To achieve high validity, researchers must have a solid research design (an experiment typically works well in this case, but the author should clearly articulate the supporting theory, how the theory is used to develop the design, and how rival factors are addressed), reliable data collection instruments (the authors did not discuss how the instruments, such as the story and the test, were developed. Were they reviewed by experts? Did the authors conduct a pilot test? Did they revise the instruments based on the results?), appropriate statistical methods (this research appears to use appropriate methods), and results with detailed explanations.  The result of "no significant difference" could be influenced by several factors, including a small sample size, research design issues, types of music, and instrument quality. We should not place blame on the authors for the result, but the authors should make an effort to provide the most likely interpretations of the result.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	There should be a lot more references in existence. Those listed by the authors did not sufficiently work well for the introduction, the reasoning of the design, and result discussions.	

**Review Form 3**

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	OK.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Huiwen Li
Department, University & Country	Bard College, USA