

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_128343
Title of the Manuscript:	Bioactivity guided Isolation of Gallic acid and Kaempferol-7-O-glucoside from Entada africana Guill. & Perr. Ethyl acetate Stembark Crude extract.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript able to enlighten the potential of <i>Entada Africana</i> as potent herbal extract materials for numerous applications and product development. Findings able to benefited the fundamental research for more exploration on the structure-activity relationship and interaction studies. Finding also give insight to applied scientist to explore the technology and develop products with potential benefit.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract content are good. Suggestion for improvement: 1. Correct space in between number and unit format. 2. Correct grammar mistakes. "...from which..."	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. The manuscript content was done scientifically and well written.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. References are sufficient and up to date.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	Suggested to add discussion on structure-activity relationship of isolated compounds and the biological activities.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nurul Amira Binti Buslima
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Kelantan, Malaysia