

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_128344
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF THE ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITIES OF PALM KERNEL OIL (<i>Elaeis guineensis</i>) AND CASTOR OIL (<i>Ricinus communis</i>) ON UROPATHOGENS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I Like This Manuscript, because it discuss important issue about the role of probiotic bacteria in diabetic patients and its also other way for treatments.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract good	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Manuscript from scientific point is good and the procedures is well	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References is sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality good	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is well written and understandable to the reader, starting from writing the abstract, ending with discussing the results and the sources in the research are sufficient to cover the subject of the research, so I recommend its acceptance for publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ghydaa H.aljeboury
Department, University & Country	Al-Nahrain University, Iraq