

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127670
Title of the Manuscript:	"Navigating the Digital Realm: An In-Depth Analysis of Pregnancy Apps for Maternal Health and Nutrition"
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds great importance with respect to pregnancy apps since it is diving into the main content and quality aspect of these applications. It will help the scientific community to understand the current situation and to make improvements wherever required when it comes to the available pregnancy apps in the Indian Market.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is fine and systematic, but research methodology part should be explained briefly.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is scientifically correct because it aims at analysing the quality and content aspect of the pregnancy apps which is relevant for the app users as well as the concerned app developers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I can see that the references are majorly within the timeframe of 2014-2024 which is sufficient for this manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	After reading the whole manuscript, the language quality is fine but it needs careful proofreading.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>In this manuscript, it has been mentioned in the selection criteria that 40 free pregnancy apps have been selected for analysis. In my opinion the names of the 40 apps should be mentioned, because I am afraid that this much free apps with respect to pregnancy is available in the Indian market. If the names are mentioned it will enhance the genuineness of the study.</p> <p>The manuscript holds novel intention and is very relevant in the current digital scenario. In the introduction part, there is a last para where the purpose of the study is being mentioned, that is needed to be rephrased because before the analysis itself, the author already stated that there is dearth of information in the pregnancy related apps. If the author is already aware of the results, then why is there a need for the analysis. Research methodology is well defined and systematic. At the end, self-structured schedule should be included to have a clearer understanding and the names of 40 pregnancy apps should also be mentioned. Recommendations are very basic; it should be derived from the findings of the study. The word recommendation is not suitable for this type of study. The author can merge the recommendation part under the heading of discussions.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neethu Mohan
Department, University & Country	St.Stephens's College, India