

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127670
Title of the Manuscript:	"Navigating the Digital Realm: An In-Depth Analysis of Pregnancy Apps for Maternal Health and Nutrition"
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	To analyze whether the application is good or not, direct trials should be carried out with pregnant women, so that the input and suggestions given about the usefulness of the application can be used as a guide for researchers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not properly constructed, needs correct usage of words	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the research abstract, can the shortcomings of several applications that have been analyzed be explained, because the shortcomings of the applications being studied are not yet visible so that they can be used as input for other researchers who want to research applications for pregnant women? This methodology needs to be rewritten in a concise way, explaining what is exactly done. Not clear.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The article is not clear, because there is no information obtained on which part is lacking, the author only explains that there is no application using the Indian language, in my opinion, it can be added which parts are needed and which parts are lacking, and the article does not explain what types of applications have been studied from the 40, the author should be able to make a conclusion about which applications are good, so that they can provide references for further researchers.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	clear	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Oke	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ingka Kristina Pangaribuan
Department, University & Country	STIKes Mitra Husada Medan, Indonesia