

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127670
Title of the Manuscript:	"Navigating the Digital Realm: An In-Depth Analysis of Pregnancy Apps for Maternal Health and Nutrition"
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical gap in digital health solutions for Indian mothers during pregnancy. The study evaluates the content quality of pregnancy-related apps, highlighting their inadequacy in meeting the health and nutrition needs of expectant mothers. It is an important contribution to the scientific community as it emphasizes the necessity of culturally relevant and comprehensive pregnancy apps tailored to the Indian context. This work aligns with the growing interest in mobile health technologies and their role in improving maternal health outcomes.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title, "Navigating the Digital Realm: An In-Depth Analysis of Pregnancy Apps for Maternal Health and Nutrition," is suitable and accurately reflects the content and scope of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a good overview of the study but could benefit from including specific key findings, such as the percentage of apps lacking critical content. This would make the summary more impactful and informative.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound and methodologically robust. The use of a self-structured schedule for app evaluation ensures systematic data collection. However, providing more details on the evaluation criteria and scoring system would enhance the credibility of the findings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and relevant to the topic. To further strengthen the study, the authors may consider including additional recent references related to the development and impact of culturally tailored mobile health apps.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally clear and suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical errors and repetitive phrases should be addressed to improve readability. For example, the phrase "foods to improve baby's fairness" should be revised to reflect contemporary health perspectives.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript offers valuable insights into the limitations of existing pregnancy apps and their potential improvement. Expanding on how the findings can inform the development of better apps, possibly including a prototype or conceptual framework, would add practical value.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amir Mounesan
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University Karaj Branch, Iran