

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_122201
Title of the Manuscript:	Unraveling the Constraints Associated with Groundnut Marketing: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Researching the constraints associated with groundnut marketing is crucial for economic development, food security, gender equity, rural development, and sustainable agriculture. It has broad implications for both local communities and broader economic and policy frameworks. The study is crucial for understanding the barriers that hinder efficient market participation and limit the income-generating potential of groundnut growers. Therefore improving agricultural marketing systems is essential for enhancing the livelihoods of rural farmers and achieving broader economic development goals	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Unraveling the Constraints Associated with Groundnut Marketing: A Comprehensive Review Suitable but I made some comments to be addressed by the author- Either review the content of the study to reflect the title or change the title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Few suggestions were made on the scope of study, ranking of the constraints and pragmatic actions on programs and recommendations to relevant stakeholders	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Okay with those recommendations are added	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Is scientifically correct but recommended further analysis run on the impact of constraints on efficiency and profitability- Theories are appropriate though plenty	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	okay	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is okay but author should pay attention to the tense being used. The study has already been done so writing shouldn't look like the study is now going to be conducted	
Optional/General comments	Good paper if is improved by author	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maxwell Amedi
Department, University & Country	Ghana