

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_128486
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the antibacterial potential of commercial herbal mixtures against clinical isolate of Salmonella typhi: an in-vitro experimental analysis.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yeah, the manuscript is very important for the scientific community in general and for those that conduct scientific researches on antimicrobial potentials of different plants and their products to treat the emerging microbial resistance against the currently using antibiotics and other drugs.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, of course, the title of the manuscript is suitable and sound full. The title given for the manuscript is clear, concise and reflects the main theme of the finding.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Off course, the abstract conveys the summarized message of the finding but it will better, if it includes more justified background of the study.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is written in a scientific and correct way through coherent and consistent styles and formats of the research guideline.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references used for this manuscript are recent, relevant and sufficient and stated (in-text citation and in the reference chapter) according to the guideline of the journal.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English/language quality of the manuscript is very great and easy to understand by others and could be scholarly communicated without any difficulty.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In the result and discussion part, the result of this finding should be more compared with other studies conducted most recently on the area specifically on the antibacterial activity of the herbal mixtures.	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gebrekidan Welegerima Bezabh
Department, University & Country	Adigrat University, Ethiopia