

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_128697
Title of the Manuscript:	Design of battery temperature display system based on MC9S12XS128
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The normal and stable operation of the battery, as a main device of energy storage in power supply and control elements and devices of them become in attention of modern scientific research. In the reviewed article submitted results of theoretical and practical of research of design battery temperature display system, based on MC9S12XS128 single chip microcomputer.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is correct.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript formed scientifically correct and consist new research materials.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references for references are enough sufficient and recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of the language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications and discuses.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ilhomjon Siddikov
Department, University & Country	The "Tashkent Institute of Irrigation and Agricultural Mechanization Engineers" National research University (TIAME NRU), Uzbekistan