

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_128677
Title of the Manuscript:	PREDICTING BUBBLE POINT PRESSURE AND GAS-OIL RATIO PVT PROPERTIES USING BAGGING ENSEMBLE TECHNIQUES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A very novel idea on Bagging Ensemble Machine Learning. It was compared to ANN and hence it shows much more accuracy. This is a novel scientific idea.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title reflects the content of the paper.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Very comprehensive abstract. The importance of the idea is reflected, the gap in research is highlighted. The method, key findings and implications are highlighted.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Very correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Very sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is excellent.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper highlights novel idea in scientific research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesse Nyokabi
Department, University & Country	Kenya