

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_125184
Title of the Manuscript:	Renewable Energy from Agricultural Waste: A Review of Biogas Potential for Sustainable Energy Generation in Nigeria's Rural Agricultural Communities
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript covers an important topic of renewable energy and bioenergy generation. However, the reason for disliking this manuscript is the way in which it has been drafted. The manuscript seems like reviewing the sustainable energy generation in Nigeria but it is not focussed on the topic and some unnecessary information has been given in the manuscript. Author should focus on the present status of the topic in the developing country and developed country and the need for this topic coverage. Future prospects should be covered with possible barriers in the achievement of goals.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, Proposed title: Biogas Potential of Nigeria's Rural Agricultural waste- A review	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, addition of more specific points rather than general points is advisable. More Govt data should be referred in the manuscript. Global biogas trend should be comprehended in the abstract specifically developing countries.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	No, this manuscript lacks scientific robustness as more data is required for substantial claim. More data is required for comparison with other countries like India. Other developing countries should also be covered for better comparison other than India. Conclusion should also be given as a separate section in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments	No, Sentences can be framed in better way.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Manuscript should not be accepted in the present form.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sujata Sinha
Department, University & Country	India