

### Review Form 3

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JERR_125184   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Renewable Energy from Agricultural Waste: A Review of Biogas Potential for Sustainable Energy Generation in Nigeria's Rural Agricultural Communities |
| Type of the Article      |  |

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | IT is interesting topic in the field of biogas and biofuel research. But as a review article it is not upto date. Needs extensive revision.<br><b>SEE ATTACHMENT</b>   |   |
| Is the title of the article suitable?<br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)   | Yes  |   |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.   | Yes  |   |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  | No   |   |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | It is interesting in scientific community if extensive modification should be done with more current references at least 60-100.   |   |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.   | NOT SUITABLE. MORE CURRENT REFERENCES ARE NEEDED.  |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  | MAJOR REVISION   |   |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?   |  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | Authors Must cite:<br><a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.14233/ajchem.2019.22098">http://dx.doi.org/10.14233/ajchem.2019.22098</a><br><br>Roy, Sanjay. "A piece of writing: Future prospect of biofuels." (2017): 49-52. Journal of Biofuels, <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/0976-4763.2017.00007.1">http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/0976-4763.2017.00007.1</a> |   |

#### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

#### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                                      |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Sanjay Roy                           |
| Department, University & Country | Netaji Subhas Open University, India |