

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_128286
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF CERTAIN BIOPESTICIDES ON SUCKING INSECT PESTS OF TOMATO, SOLANUM LYCOPERSICUM L.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article talks about how to manage the important sucking pests of tomato with the help of bio-pesticides. There is an emerging demand regarding the implementation of bio-pesticide for their ecofriendly nature and efficacy in reducing pests population. This article will give farmers more options to choose between to sustainable management of pests of tomato.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	A better title is suggested in slide	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The segregations are included in the text.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Though it is scientifically correct however it requires few inclusions for improving its scientific acceptance.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Few references were old, they are asked to replace. Also few more references are asked to include in the text.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article seems good however, it require revisions foe its betterment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anannya Dhar
Department, University & Country	Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational and Research Institute, India