

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_121491
Title of the Manuscript:	Gait and/or Balance Impairments in Geriatric Populations: An Etiological Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it delves into the gait changes in the geriatric population, a topic of growing importance given the aging global population. Understanding gait alterations in older adults is essential for developing targeted interventions that can prevent falls and improve mobility, thereby enhancing their overall quality of life. I appreciate this manuscript for its detailed analysis of gait parameters, which provides valuable insights that can inform clinical practices and therapeutic approaches. The research contributes meaningfully to the field by addressing a critical aspect of geriatric care, making it a significant resource for both clinicians and researchers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Gait and/or Balance Impairments in Geriatric Populations: An Etiological Study" is quite suitable for the manuscript. It clearly communicates the focus on identifying the causes of gait and balance impairments in elderly individuals.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a general overview but lacks clarity in the presentation of results and conclusions. The significant changes mentioned are too vague and do not give the reader a clear understanding of the key findings. The statistical methods used to determine significance should be briefly mentioned, as should the sample sizes for the subgroups compared.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Clarity: Improve the clarity of the abstract, introduction, and discussion sections. The paper currently reads as if the authors are more focused on presenting data than on explaining its significance.</p> <p>Depth of Analysis: The discussion section needs to engage more deeply with the findings, offering explanations and comparing them with existing literature</p> <p>Practical Implications: The paper should better address the practical implications of the findings for geriatric care, especially in terms of early detection and intervention strategies for gait and balance impairments.</p> <p>Technical Aspects: While the technical details in the methods section are valuable, consider simplifying the language for broader accessibility.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference list is adequate, but as mentioned earlier, more recent and relevant studies should be included, especially those that discuss modern gait analysis techniques and the latest understanding of sarcopenia in the elderly.	
Minor REVISION comments	Improve the clarity of the abstract, introduction, and discussion sections. The paper currently reads as if the authors are more focused on presenting data than on explaining its significance.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Surya Vishnuram
Department, University & Country	Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, India