

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_128543
Title of the Manuscript:	The timeline of progress in fermented food research in the Republic of the Congo: A meta-analytic review
Type of the Article	Review article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The timeline of progress in fermented food research reflects a growing understanding of the complex role microorganisms play in the fermentation process. Initially, traditional fermentation practices were passed down through generations without a scientific understanding of the underlying mechanisms. Over time, many milestones and advancements in microbiology brought clarity to the biochemical activities of bacteria, yeasts, and molds. This evolving research continues to open new avenues for innovation in fermented food production and applications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Evolution fermented food products in the Republic of the Congo: A meta-analytic review	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Lack in concluding lines concert lines of review findings may be written here	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	A comprehensive table may be added over 4 decades showing information name of fermented product, biochemical action and compounds and possible health benefits.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Preeti Chaudhary
Department, University & Country	College of Horticulture and Forestry, Hamirpur, India