

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128788
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of sowing time, days to fruit maturity, seed extraction method, seed yield parameters in brinjal var. Anand Raj
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript explores the influence of sowing time, maturity period, and seed extraction techniques on the seed yield and quality of brinjal (<i>Solanum melongena</i> L.). By studying different sowing times and their effect on the duration to maturity, the study aims to determine how early or late planting influences overall seed production. Furthermore, it evaluates various seed extraction methods to identify which technique yields the highest seed quality, in terms of germination rate and viability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Effect of Agronomic Factors on Seed Yield and Quality in Brinjal (<i>Solanum melongena</i> L.) OR Impact of Sowing Time, Maturity Period, and Seed Extraction Techniques on Seed Yield and Quality in Brinjal (<i>Solanum melongena</i> L.)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Methodology for Writing the Abstract Introduction to the Research Problem: Introduce the research topic, emphasizing the significance of brinjal (<i>Solanum melongena</i> L.). Highlight the role of sowing time, maturity period, and seed extraction methods in influencing seed yield and quality. Objectives of the Study: State the primary objectives of the study, e.g., to assess the impact of sowing time, maturity period, and seed extraction techniques on seed yield and quality. Methodology: Briefly describe the experimental design (e.g., randomized complete block design). Mention the main variables being studied: sowing times, maturity periods, and seed extraction methods. Outline the parameters for measuring seed quality (e.g., germination rate, seed weight, viability). Results: Summarize key findings without detailed data. Mention trends or significant effects (e.g., earlier sowing improved seed yield and germination). Conclusion and Implications: State the broader implications of the study's findings. Emphasize how the results contribute to optimizing brinjal cultivation practices and improving seed production.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound and presents the research in a clear and logical manner.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not sufficient. The introduction needs to include a broader selection of references to previous research studies. These references will provide necessary context, demonstrate how the current study aligns with or builds upon existing literature, and strengthen the academic foundation of the manuscript. Adding more references will help to ground the research in the broader field, showcasing its relevance and positioning it within the current body of work.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It would benefit from minor revisions to enhance the clarity and readability of the language used. Improving the language will help ensure that the manuscript is more accessible to a wider audience and that the key findings are communicated more effectively.	
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rashid Abbas
Department, University & Country	Gomal University Dera, Pakistan