

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128788
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of sowing time, days to fruit maturity, seed extraction method, seed yield parameters in brinjal var. Anand Raj
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses critical factors influencing seed yield and quality in Brinjal, a crop of significant Agricultural importance. The study's focus on sowing time, fruit maturity and seed extraction methods provides valuable insights into optimizing seed production practices for improved yield and viability. The comprehensive evaluation of these parameters ensures the manuscript's relevance and applicability to the scientific community engaged in horticultural and seed research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but here is more suitable title: Effect of Sowing Time, Fruit Maturity and Seed Extraction Methods on Seed Yield Parameters in Brinjal (<i>Solanum melongena</i> L.) Var. Anand Raj	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study. A few improvements can enhance its clarity and impact: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Consider including a sentence on the statistical analysis or experimental design (e.g., randomized block design, if applicable) to provide more methodological context. While the findings are well summarized, adding a brief mention of the practical implications or potential applications of this research for farmers and breeders would strengthen the abstract. 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript demonstrates sound scientific methodology and provides meaningful insights, making it a valuable contribution to the field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The cited references include recent studies (within the past 5–7 years) to reflect the current advancements and relevance in the field of Brinjal seed production.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article are generally acceptable for scholarly communication. However, some grammatical errors need to be addressed to improve clarity.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pradeep Kumar Yadav
Department, University & Country	T. D. PG. College, India