

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128584
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Toxicity Of Selected Insecticides Against Third Instar Spodoptera Frugiperda Populations In Southern India
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Comparative toxicity of selected insecticides against third instar <i>spodoptera frugiperda</i> populations in southern india is good work and the bioassay data revealed minimal variation in LC <sub>50</sub> values across different populations, consistent for all insecticides tested. The narrowest range of LC <sub>50</sub> values was observed for emamectin benzoate (0.99 to 1.02 ppm), while the greatest variation occurred with thiodicarb (427.36 to 557.37 ppm).	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	COMPARATIVE INSECTICIDES TOXICITY AGAINST THIRD INSTAR SPODOPTERA FRUGIPERDA POPULATIONS IN SOUTHERN INDIA	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Ok Mentioned	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ok	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Improve	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ashoka K. S
Department, University & Country	UAS, B, Indian