

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_128310
Title of the Manuscript:	Selection of the best performing media for the growth and development of <i>Cordyceps militaris</i> mycelia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Importance and innovation of this research work is missing</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>You have included what u tried, but the outcome is not that much eye catching with your abstract</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Correct But try to add the necessity of this research work Social outcome of this research work Add or include all these points in intro abstract and in conclusion, then only the unknown people will understand this work.  Grammer and spelling should be cross checked before publication	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	May be they can add more reference in methods and discussion	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	May be they can improve the writing with good grammer and without spelling mistake	
<b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>		

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jesteena Johney</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centre for Bioscience and Nanoscience Research, India</b>