

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127697
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Foliar Application of Organic Formulations on Growth of Okra ( <i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Research Paper

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it highlights the effectiveness of organic foliar formulations in enhancing the growth and yield of okra, a key vegetable crop. The study emphasizes the potential of seaweed extract and vermiwash as eco-friendly alternatives to synthetic inputs, promoting sustainable agricultural practices. Its findings provide a scientific basis for optimizing organic inputs, contributing to the advancement of organic farming methods.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	"Impact of Organic Foliar Formulations on Growth and Yield of Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus)"	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract of the article is informative and provides a good overview of the study's objectives, methodology, results, and conclusions	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Correct	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	references sufficient according this manuscript	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language of the article is generally understandable, but it could benefit from improvements to align with the standards of scholarly communication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yadvendra pal singh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lovely Professional university, India</b>