

## Original Research Article

# ULTRA SENSITIVE VISIBLE SPECTROSCOPIC METHODS FOR CEFPODOXIME PROXETIL USING NQS AND PDAC REAGENTS

### ABSTRACT


Very sensitive and accurate visible spectrophotometric methods were developed and validated to quantify Cefpodoxime Proxetil in API and marketed formulation. Method A is based on the measurement of absorbance of a reddish-orange coloured chromogen at 454.9 nm, formed by the condensation reaction of the primary amino group of Cefpodoxime Proxetil with NQS reagent. Method B is based on the measurement of absorbance of a light yellowish green coloured chromogen at 401.3nm, due to the Schiff's base formed between Cefpodoxime Proxetil and PDAC reagent in acidic medium. Beer-lambert's law is obeyed in the concentration range of 0.1-1 $\mu$ g/mL for method A, and for method B, it was 1-10  $\mu$ g/mL. The values of LOD and LOQ were found to be 0.009  $\mu$ g/mL and 0.027  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively, for the condensation reaction with NQS reagent. For Schiff's base reaction, LOD and LOQ values were 0.154  $\mu$ g/mL and 0.467  $\mu$ g/mL respectively. The results of method validation done in accordance with ICH guidelines were satisfactory. The developed method can be successfully employed in routine analysis of Cefpodoxime Proxetil in pharmaceutical dosage forms.

**Keywords:** Cefpodoxime Proxetil, 1, 2-Napthoquinone 4-Sulphonate (NQS), P-Dimethylamino Cinnamaldehyde (PDAC).

### INTRODUCTION


Cefpodoxime Proxetil (CFP) is chemically 1-(Isopropoxy carbonyloxy) ethyl (6R,7R)- 7-[2-(2-amino-4-thiazolyl) - (z)-2-(methoxyimino) acetamido]-3-methoxymethyl-3-cephem-4-carboxylate [1], a semi-synthetic third-generation cephalosporin antibiotic with a chemical structure given in Figure 1. CFP is a prodrug de-esterified in vivo to its active metabolite, cefpodoxime, to exhibit antibiotic activity [2]. It is active against most Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms. It is commonly used in the treatment of a variety of infections of the skin, respiratory tract, urinary tract, and systemic infections and to treat acute otitis media, pharyngitis, and sinusitis [3-5]. The drug is absorbed readily from the gut. It reaches adequate levels exceeding most body fluids' minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). It is excreted by

kidneys, unchanged. Also, the dose needs adjustment in compromised renal function. It is a bactericidal agent like the rest of the cephalosporins. After de-esterification by the intestinal esterases, the drug inhibits bacterial cell wall synthesis. The molecular weight of the active molecule is 557.6, which allows its free passage through the pores in the bacterial cell wall. Then, it crosses the periplasmic space and binds with the penicillin-binding proteins (PBP-1 and PBP-3) in the cell membrane. This binding then affects the cell membrane's peptidoglycan synthesis, ultimately damaging the cell [5,6].




**Figure 1: Structure of CFP**

1, 2-Naphthoquinone-4-Sulfonate (Sodium-3, 4 dioxo -3, 4 dihydro naphthalene-1-sulphonate) is used as functional group reagent for amines. The analytical methods available in public domain includes Spectrophotometric method [7-9], colorimetric methods [10,11], spectrofluorimetric methods [12,13], TLC [14], HPLC methods [15-25], Hyphenated techniques and stability indicating methods [29-32]. A thorough literature survey revealed the presence of less visible spectroscopic methods for CFP; the authors developed ultrasensitive methods using 1, 2-Naphthoquinone 4-Sulphonate (NQS), P-Dimethylamino Cinnamaldehyde (PDAC) reagents. Both methods depends on the chemical reaction of aromatic amino group with the reagents yielding coloured moieties.



**Figure 2: Chromogenic reaction of CFP with NQS Reagent**

PDAC (4- (Dimethylamino) Cinnamaldehyde) is used as a functional group reagent for amines. The principle of aldehydes, which condenses the aromatic amines, involves the release of oxygen molecules. Then it combines with the amine group to form the yellow Schiff's base in the presence of acidic medium such as HCl or H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The chromogenic reactions with NQS and PDAC are given in figure 2 and 3 respectively.



**Figure 3: Chromogenic reaction of CFP with PDAC Reagent**

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

### Materials

A Gratis sample of CFP obtained from SEE GEE Pharmaceutical Ltd was used. Methanol was obtained from (Qualigens); NQS, sodium hydroxide, PDAC, and Hydrochloride acid were procured from Sd fine-Chem Ltd.

### Instruments

UV-Visible Spectrophotometer Shimadzu UV-1800, analytical balance.

### Experimental Methods

#### Optimization of Methods:

The main factors that affect any chemical reaction are concentration, time and temperature and are optimised by one factor at a time approach (OFAT).

#### Method A

A set of 10 mL volumetric flasks held the standard stock solution of CFP; NaOH (0.1 - 1.0%) 0.5%) and NQS reagent (0.1 - 1.0%) in varying concentrations were added to each flask and stirred. They were then set aside for the colour to develop for 10 to 15 minutes. Each solution was then diluted with distilled water to a volume of 10 mL. Next, using the reagent as a blank, the absorbances of the resultant solutions were measured between 350 and 700 nm. Considering the maximum absorbance as the criterion for the same concentration of CFP, 1 mL of 0.1% NQS reagent and 2 mL of 0.5% NaOH were optimised for the reaction.

## **Method B**

A set of 10 mL volumetric flasks held the standard stock solution of CFP. The PDAC reagent (0.1 – 0.5%) and HCl (Conc. HCl 3 drops, 0.1 N, 1 N) were added in varying concentrations to each flask and stirred. Each solution was then diluted to 10 mL with distilled water after being left aside for 10 to 15 minutes to allow the colour to develop. Compared to the reagent blank, the absorbances of the resultant solutions were measured between 350 and 700 nm.

Considering the maximum absorbance as the criterion for the same concentration of CFP, mL of PDAC (0.2%) reagent and three drops of conc. HCl were optimised for the reaction.

### **Preparation of 0.1% NQS reagent solution:**

10 mg of NQS reagent was accurately weighed and dissolved in sufficient distilled water to produce 10 mL.

### **Preparation of 0.5% of NaOH solution:**

Accurately weighed 0.5 gm of NaOH and dissolved in sufficient distilled water to produce 100 mL.

### **Preparation of stock solution and standard solution (10 µg/mL and 100 µg/mL)**

The standard stock solution (1000 µg/mL) of CFP was prepared by dissolving 10 mg of CFP in 10 mL of methanol. From this, 0.1 mL was diluted to 10 mL with methanol to obtain the standard solution of CFP having a final concentration of 10 µg/mL (for method A). In a similar fashion, 1 mL solution was diluted to 10 mL with methanol to obtain a standard solution of CFP having a final concentration of 100 µg/mL (for method B).

### **Preparation of 0.2% PDAC reagent solution:**

Accurately weighed 200 mg of PDAC Reagent and dissolved in sufficient distilled methanol to produce 100 mL.

## **VALIDATION**

The method was validated for accuracy, precision, linearity, LOD, and LOQ as per ICH guidelines, and the detailed procedure is given below [33].

### **Linearity:**

#### **Method A:**

10 mL volumetric flasks were filled with aliquots of CFP standard drug solution (10 µg/mL), with concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 1 mL (0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, and 1 µg/mL). Each flask was filled with 1 mL of the 0.1% NQS reagent and 2 mL of the 0.5% NaOH, then thoroughly shaken. After allowing the colour to develop for ten to fifteen minutes, each solution was diluted with 10 mL of distilled water. After scanning the coloured solutions, a calibration graph was created by plotting absorbance Vs concentration.

**Method B:**

A different set of 10 mL volumetric flasks were filled with aliquots of the standard drug solution of CFP (100 µg/mL), which ranged from 0.1-1 mL (1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 µg/mL). Each flask was filled with 1 mL of PDAC reagent and 3 drops of conc. HCl, and thoroughly shaken. After allowing the color to develop for 10 to 15 minutes, each solution was diluted with 10 mL of distilled water. After scanning the coloured solutions, a calibration graph was plotted with absorbance versus concentration.

**Accuracy:**

The method's accuracy was determined by calculating CFP recoveries by the standard addition method. A known amount of standard solutions of CFP were added at 80%, 100%, and 120% levels to pre-quantified sample solutions of CFP using NQS (0.4 µg/mL) and PDAC (4 µg/mL). The amount of CFP was estimated by substituting the measured absorbance at 454.9 nm using NQS and 401.3 by PDAC reagent into the regression equation obtained in the linearity studies.

**Precision:**

The intra-day precision of the proposed colourimetric method was determined by estimating the corresponding response three times on the same day for three different concentrations of CFP with NQS (0.2, 0.6, 1.0 µg/mL) and PDAC (2, 6, 10 µg/mL). The results were reported in terms of %RSD.

The inter-day precision of the proposed colourimetric method was determined by estimating the corresponding response three times on three different days for the similar concentrations used for intra-day precision. The results were reported in terms of %RSD.

**Limit of Detection (LOD) and Limit of Quantification (LOQ):**

The limit of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) of the CFP were derived by calculating the signal-to-noise ratio using the following equations per ICH guidelines.

$$\text{LOD} = 3.3 * \text{S.D}/\text{Slope}$$


$$\text{LOQ} = 10 * \text{S.D}/\text{Slope}$$

Where, S.D = Standard Deviation of the response


S = Slope of the Calibration Curve of the analyte.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION****Optimization results:**


The optimization results for the effect of concentration of NaOH, NQS, PDAC were plotted in **Figures 4,5 and 6.**



**Figure 4: Effect of concentration of NaOH**



**Figure 5: Effect of concentration of NQS**




**Figure 6: Effect of concentration of PDAC**


**UV Spectrophotometric method.**

**Determination of  $\lambda_{max}$ :**

The visible spectra obtained for the coloured solution of CFP obtained after derivatization with NQS and with PDAC are shown in **Figure 7** and **Figure 8**, and it depicts a  $\lambda_{max}$  value of 454.9 nm for method A and 401.3 nm for method B.



**Figure: 7 Visible Spectrum of CFP using NQS**



**Figure 8: Visible Spectrum of CFP (8 µg/mL) using PDAC**

**Analytical Method Validation:**

### Calibration Plot for CFP using NQS Reagent and PDAC Reagent:

Figures 9 and 10 show the overlay spectra of CFP following chemical derivatization with NQS reagent and PDAC reagent, respectively. Tables 1 and 2 provide the related linearity data for methods A and B, respectively. It was found that the absorbance response at 454.9 nm using NQS and 401.3 nm using PDAC reagent increased with the rise in CFP concentration. The respective linearity graphs are given in Figures 9A and 10B. For CFP, the linearity of the calibration curve (absorbance Vs concentration) was examined throughout a concentration range of roughly 0.1-1 µg/mL with NQS and 1-10 µg/mL with PDAC reagent. The linearity of the procedure was demonstrated by the correlation coefficient value ( $R^2$ ) for CFP utilizing NQS, which was 0.999, and for PDAC, which was 0.9991, according to the linear regression analysis.






Figure 9: Overlay Spectra of CFP using NQS (0.1-1.0 µg/mL)

Table: 1 Linearity Data of CFP using NQS reagent

Conc. (µg/mL)	Absorbance AM ± S.D (n=3)
0.1	0.129 ± 0.003
0.2	0.245 ± 0.004
0.4	0.452 ± 0.006
0.6	0.657 ± 0.006
0.8	0.880 ± 0.005
1	1.1 ± 0.09




**Figure 9A: Linearity graph of CFP using NQS reagent**



**Figure 10: Overlay spectra of CFP using PDAC reagent (1-10 µg/mL)**

**Table 2: Linearity data of CFP using PDAC reagent**

Conc. (µg/mL)	Absorbance AM ± S.D (n=3)
1	0.123 ± 0.005
2	0.228 ± 0.007
4	0.438 ± 0.005
6	0.628 ± 0.007
8	0.856 ± 0.006
10	1.09 ± 0.07



**Figure 10B: Linearity graph of CFP using PDAC reagent**

**Precision:**

The repeatability (intra-day precision) of the method was determined by intra-day (n=3) analysis of three standard solutions of CFP of the concentration of 0.2, 0.6, 1.0 µg/mL for NQS and 2, 6, 10 µg/mL for PDAC reagent. Intermediate precision was determined by the inter-day (n=3) analysis of three standard solutions of CFP at the above-mentioned concentrations. The data obtained from precision studies are given in **Tables 3 and 4**. The %

RSD values for intra-day and inter-day precision studies were less than 2.0, confirming that the method was precise.

**Table 3: Precision Data for CFP using NQS reagent**

Theoretical Amount ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ )	Intra-day		Inter-day	
	Amt found ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) AM $\pm$ S.D (n=3)	%RSD	Amt found ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) AM $\pm$ S.D (n=3)	%RSD
0.2	0.218 $\pm$ 0.004	1.79	0.210 $\pm$ 0.002	0.95
0.6	0.608 $\pm$ 0.007	1.06	0.58 $\pm$ 0.005	0.85
1.0	1.086 $\pm$ 0.007	0.58	1.09 $\pm$ 0.008	0.66

Acceptance Criteria: % RSD should not be more than 2

**Table 4: Precision Data for CFP using PDAC reagent**

Theoretical Amount ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ )	Intra-day		Inter-day	
	Amt found ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) AM $\pm$ S.D (n=3)	% RSD	Amt found ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) AM $\pm$ S.D (n=3)	% RSD
2	2.2 $\pm$ 0.002	0.82	1.9 $\pm$ 0.0036	1.6
6	6.2 $\pm$ 0.007	1.03	5.8 $\pm$ 0.01	1.5
10	9.4 $\pm$ 0.015	1.4	9.65 $\pm$ 0.015	1.44

Acceptance Criteria: % RSD should not be more than 2

#### Accuracy (Recovery Studies)

The accuracy was determined by the standard addition method. Three different levels (80%, 100% and 120%) of standards were spiked to commercial powder in triplicate. The mean of percentage recoveries and % RSD values were calculated and reported in **Table 5**. The %recovery of CFP was found to be in the range of 100.5-101.4% for NQS and 98.9-101.6% for PDAC reagents, which are satisfactory.

**Table 5: Accuracy data for CFP using PDAC and NQS reagent**

Spiking Level	Theoretical Content ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ )		Amt found ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ )AM $\pm$ S.D (n=3)		%Recovery		%RSD	
	PDAC	NQS	PDAC	NQS	PDAC	NQS	PDAC	NQS
80%	7.2	0.72	7.31 $\pm$ 0.01	0.720 $\pm$ 0.002	101.6	100.6	1.4	0.27
100%	8	0.8	7.9 $\pm$ 0.006	0.811 $\pm$ 0.001	98.9	101.4	0.76	0.123
120%	8.8	0.88	8.86 $\pm$ 0.007	0.885 $\pm$ 0.007	100.7	100.5	0.79	0.8

Acceptance Criteria: % RSD should not be more than 2

#### Limit of Detection (LOD) and Limit of Quantification (LOQ):

LOD was found to be 0.009  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  for NQS and 0.154  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  for PDAC reagent; LOQ was found to be 0.027  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  using NQS and 0.467  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  using PDAC reagent for CFP.

#### Analysis of Marketed Formulations (Assay):

The assay of commercially available tablets (Cepodem® 100) containing 100 mg of CFP was used to assess the accuracy of the suggested approach. **Table 6** presents the comparison between the CFP results and the corresponding indicated quantities. The assay value was determined to be 100.32% using PDAC reagent and 102.1 mg using NQS and 100.32 mg using NQS; the amount of CFP was found to be 102.1 mg using NQS and 100.32 mg using PDAC reagent. These sums fell inside the permitted range. The assay result's % RSD was determined to be less than 2, indicating the suggested method's correctness.

**Table 6: Analysis of Commercial tablets using NQS and PDAC reagent (assay)**

Formulation with label Claim	Reagents	Amt found (mg)AM $\pm$ S.D (n=3)	% Assay	%RSD
CEPODEM® 100mg	NQS	102.1 $\pm$ 0.0049	102.1 %	0.722
	PDAC	100.32 $\pm$ 0.004	100.32 %	0.613

### CONCLUSION

Due to its ease of use, sensitivity, and selectivity, visible spectrophotometry has maintained its competitiveness in the field of pharmaceutical analysis. Using NQS and PDAC Reagents,

two straightforward, accurate, and exact visible spectrophotometric techniques were created to measure CFP. The absorbance maxima ( $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ ) in the linearity range of 0.1-1 ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) with NQS and 1-10 ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) with PDAC reagent were found to be at 454.9 nm using NQS and 401.3 nm using PDAC reagent. The methods were optimized and subsequently validated on par with ICH guidelines for accuracy, precision, etc, to ensure its utility and rightness. The research findings demonstrated that the colourimetry method that was created is straightforward, linear, accurate, exact, selective, and above all, sensitive, as indicated by the lesser linearity range and the lesser LOD and LOQ values. In order to ensure CFP quality control in API and pharmaceutical dosage forms, the established colourimetric approach can be utilized.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1: Yes

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

Option 2: No

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc. have been used during the writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

1. None

2. None

3. None

## REFERENCES

1. O'Neill M. J. (2006). An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs and Biologicals. 14th ed. Whitehouse Station, New Jersey: Merck Research Laboratories, Division of Merck and Co., Inc. The Merck Index; 319.
2. Borin, M. T. (1991). A review of the pharmacokinetics of cefpodoxime proxetil. *Drugs*, 42(supplement 3),13–21.
3. Bergogne-Berezin, (1991). Cefpodoxime in upper respiratory tract infections. *Drugs*, 42(3), 25–33.
4. Geddes, A. M. (1991). Cefpodoxime proxetil in the treatment of lower respiratory tract infections. *Drugs*, 42(supplement 3),34–40.

5. Kakumanu, V. K., Arora, V. & Bansal, A. K. (2006). Investigation on physicochemical and biological differences of cefpodoxime proxetil enantiomers. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*, 64(2), 255–259.
6. E. C. Chocas, C. M. Paap, & P. J. Godley, (1993). Cefpodoxime proxetil: a new, broad-spectrum, oral cephalosporin. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*, 27(11), 1369–1377.
7. Asnani, G., Jadhav, K., Dhamecha, D., Sankh, A., & Patil, M. (2012). Development and validation of spectrophotometric method of cefpodoxime proxetil using hydrotropic solubilizing agents. *Pharm Methods*, 3(2), 117-120.
8. Naresh M. Kalsariya, Chodavadia, R.M., Patel, P.B., Mevada, Z.N., Marolia, B.P., Shah, S.A. (2011). Simultaneous Estimation of Cefpodoxime Proxetil and Ofloxacin in Combined Dosage Form by UV-Spectrophotometric Method. *Asian J. Research Chem.* 4(12), 1836-1839.
9. Abirami, G., Vetrichelvan, T. & Bhavyasri, M. (2012). Development and validation of UV-Spectroscopy method for the determination of Cefpodoxime proxetil and Ambroxol Hydrochloride in pharmaceutical formulation. *International journal of PharmTech Research*, 4(2), 623-629.
10. Kamalesh, Madhuri, D. & Nagarajan, G. (2014). Colorimetric determination of Cefpodoxime proxetil by Chelation with Mercury (Hg II) ions. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 7(4), 1-4.
11. Subbayamma, A.V., & Ram Babu. (2008). Application of Ninhydrin and Ascorbic Acid for determination of Cefpodoxime proxetil in Pharmaceutical Formulations. *Oriental journal of Chemistry*, 24(2), 651-654.
12. Mohamed, N.A., Abdel-Wadood, H.M., & Ahmed, S. (2011). An efficient one-pot reaction for selective fluorimetric determination of cefpodoxime and its prodrug. *Talanta*, 85(4): 2121-2127.
13. Mostafa, N.M., Abdel-Fattah, L., Weshahy, S.A., Hassan, N.Y., & Boltia, S.A. (2015). Stability-indicating spectrofluorometric method for the determination of some cephalosporin drugs via their degradation products. *JAOAC Int.*, 98(2): 361-370.
14. Singh, D.K., & Maheshwari, G. (2010). Chromatographic studies of some cephalosporins on thin layers of silica gel G-zinc ferrocyanide. *Biomed Chromatogr.*, 24(10): 1084-1088.
15. Camus, F., Deslandes, A., Harcouet, L. & Farinotti, R. (1994). High performance liquid chromatographic method for the determination of cefpodoxime levels in plasma and sinus mucosa. *Journal of Chromatography B*, 656(2), 383–388.
16. Kakumanu, V. K., Arora, V. K., & Bansal, A. K. (2006). Development and validation of isomer specific RP-HPLC method for quantification of cefpodoxime proxetil. *Journal of Chromatography B*, 835(1-2), 16–20.
17. Lovdahl, M. J., Reher, K. E., Russlie, H. Q., & Canafax, D. M. (1994). Determination of cefpodoxime levels in chinchilla middle ear fluid and plasma by high-performance liquid chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography B*, 653(2), 227–232.
18. Malathi, S., Dubey, R. N., & Venkatnarayanan, R. (2009). Simultaneous RP-HPLC estimation of cefpodoxime proxetil and clavulanic acid in tablets. *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 71(1), 102–105.
19. Molina, F., Jehl, F., Gallion, C., Penner, F., & Monteil, H. (1991). Determination of the third generation oral cephalosporin cefpodoxime in biological fluids by high-speed high-performance liquid chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography B*, 563(1), 205–210.
20. Acharya, D.R., & Patel, D.B. (2013). Development and Validation of RP-HPLC Method for Simultaneous Estimation of Cefpodoxime proxetil and Dicloxacillin Sodium in Tablets, *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 75(1), 31-35.

21. Vijaya Krishna, C.A., Ranjitha, L.R., & Satish Kumar Shetty, A.(2014). RP-HPLC method development and Validation for Simultaneous estimation of Azithromycin and Cefpodoxime proxetil in combined tablet dosage form. *World journal of pharmacy and pharmaceutical sciences*, 4(1), 922-33.
22. Shrikant Shriniwas Ainwale & Vaibhav, D. Chipade. (2015). Simultaneous RP-HPLC determination of Cefpodoxime proxetil and Ofloxacin. *International Research Journal of Pharmacy*, 6(9), 677-81.
23. Patel, H.A., Vaghela, J.P., Shah, J.S., & Patel, P.B. (2012). Development and Validation of RP-HPLC method for estimation of Cefpodoxime proxetil and Dicloxacilin in their combined dosage form and its application to the dissolution study. *International journal of pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research*, 15(2), 50-55.
24. Dahiya, D. P., Saini, G., Singh, B., Chaudhary, A., Chaudhary, P., & Chaudhary, M.(2022). Development and optimization of RP-HPLC method for analysis of Cefpodoxime proxetil impurity in pharmaceutical formulation. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, 6(S2),7129–7151.
25. Sunkara, Namratha & Vijayalakshmi,A. (2018). Validation of Cefpodoxime proxetil and Ambroxol hydrochloride by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatographic method. *Drug Invention Today*, 10,174-178.
- Hyphenated techniques
26. Fukutsu, N., Kawasaki, T., Saito, K. & Nakazawa, H.(2006). Application of high-performance liquid chromatography hyphenated techniques for identification of degradation products of cefpodoxime proxetil. *J Chromatogr A*,1129(2),153-159.
27. Fukutsu, N., Sakamaki, Y., Kawasaki, T., Saito, K. & Nakazawa, H.(2006). Verification of cefmetazole and cefpodoxime proxetil contamination to other pharmaceuticals by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo)*, 54(10),1469-1472.
28. Fukutsu, N., Sakamaki, Y., Kawasaki, T., Saito, K. & Nakazawa, H.(2006). LC/MS/MS method for the determination of trace amounts of cefmetazole and cefpodoxime proxetil contaminants in pharmaceutical manufacturing environments. *J Pharm Biomed Anal.*,41(4),1243-1250.
- stability studies
29. Patel,G. & Rajput,S. (2011). Stress degradation studies on cefpodoxime proxetil and development of a validated stability indicating HPLC method. *Acta Chromatographica*, 23(2), 215–234.
30. Jain,P, Chaudhari, A.,Bang, A. & Surana,S. (2012). Validated stability-indicating high-performance thin-layer chromatographic method for estimation of cefpodoxime proxetil in bulk and in pharmaceutical formulation according to International conference on harmonization guidelines. *Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences*, 4(2), 101–106.
31. Ceema Mathew, Ajitha,M., & Sathesh Babu, P. R. (2013). Cefpodoxime Proxetil: A New Stability Indicating RP-HPLC Method. *ISRN Chromatography*. Volume 2013, Article ID 328157, 8 pages <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/328157>
32. Hakkani-AI, & Mostafa. (2019). Forced degradation study with a developed and validated RP-HPLC method for determination of cefpodoxime proxetil in the bulk and finished pharmaceutical products. *Journal of the Iranian Chemical Society*, 16:1571–1578
33. ICH, Q2B, Validation of Analytical Procedures: Methodology. *International Conference on Harmonization, Geneva*, pp. 1-5 (1996).

