

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_128259
Title of the Manuscript:	ULTRA SENSITIVE VISIBLE SPECTROSCOPIC METHODS FOR CEFPODOXIME PROXETIL USING NQS AND PDAC REAGENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Comments to author(s) 1. The manuscript critically lacks proof reading, and requires extensive grammatical and typological error. 2. Few of the lines are repeated in the introduction, mainly related to uses and application of cefpodoxime. 3. Add full text of abbreviation in first place and don't repeat the full text of an abbreviation if it's already been used once. 4. Why author mentioned "there were no colorimetric methods developed for CFP using NQS and PDAC reagents" but the title suggest they developed only visible spectroscopic method? 5. Discuss the criteria for selection of concentrations of NQS reagent (0.5, 50, 0.1%) PDAC reagent (0.1, 0.2%), HCl (Conc. HCl 3 drops, 0.1 N, 1 N) for this study. 6. Update Bibliography as per the journal's guidelines.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Karan Wadhwa
Department, University & Country	Chandigarh University, India