

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128660
Title of the Manuscript:	Piriformospora indica suppresses natural incidence and severity of black leaf mold of tomato incited by Pseudocercospora fuligena over strobilurin and triazole fungicides with enhanced growth and yield
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Isolation of pathogen and control in-Vivo is found very effective. This finding will be helpful to control and manage the disease black leaf mold on tomato cultivation. Under control of this pathogen, the productivity rate of tomato will increase significantly. It is good work for field of agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract should be abstract. The author is trying to explain paragraphs.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Its ok	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More reference should be added	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No issues in language. But few grammatical mistake observed	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jitu Gogoi
Department, University & Country	Silapathar Science College, India