

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128660
Title of the Manuscript:	Piriformospora indica suppresses natural incidence and severity of black leaf mold of tomato incited by Pseudocercospora fuligena over strobilurin and triazole fungicides with enhanced growth and yield
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Piriformospora indica</i> is a beneficial endophytic fungus that promotes plant health • <i>P. indica</i> is known for its plant growth-promoting properties, such as better nutrient uptake and stress resistance • The strobilurin fungicides partially and the trizoles completely inhibited the mycelia growth of the pathogen. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It has to be rewritten according to the journal format.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Need little modification on abstract part.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The number of references are ok, but it has to be written by reference management software's like: Endnote, Mendeley, and etc.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is good	
Optional/General comments	I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hailemariam Assefa
Department, University & Country	Jimma Institute of Technology, Ethiopia