

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128480
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphological Characterization of citrus leaves (Citrus species) under sub-tropical conditions of Punjab
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is significant for the scientific community due to the morphological characterization of citrus leaves (<i>Citrus species</i>) under sub-tropical conditions in Punjab. Therefore, there was no considerable variation in morphological characterization among citrus leaves. It is recommended for future molecular characterization studies of the leaves.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the abstract, it is more understandable to add numbers, e.g. this sentence's maximum mean leaf lamina length, leaf lamina width, and leaf lamina length to width ratio were recorded in pummelo, followed by grapefruit and mandarin. The maximum leaf area was observed in pummelo, which was statistically at par with grapefruit, mandarin, sweet orange, and lemon	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	the manuscript scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is good	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is a good contribution for scientific community	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tarekegn Molaligne
Department, University & Country	Debark University and Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Ethiopia