

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128480
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphological Characterization of citrus leaves (Citrus species) under sub-tropical conditions of Punjab
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper describes the morphology of leaves of different citrus species. This characterization maybe useful for further study. It may also be useful to new researchers in identifying citrus species.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, references are sufficient. but there are a few references which was found in the body but not in the reference section and few found in the reference section but not in the body. Khan <i>et al</i> (2010) and Singh (2006) is not found in the reference section. Anonymous (2020). Area and Production of fruit in Punjab. <i>Package and Practices for Cultivation of Vegetable Crops</i> . Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana pp 1-1 This reference is not found in the body section. Arora <i>et al</i> (2013) is written is body section but reference is Arora and Kaur (2013). Please check the spelling of Estellena in the body section.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	There are a few references which was found in the body but not in the reference section and few found in the reference section but not in the body. Khan <i>et al</i> (2010) and Singh (2006) is not found in the reference section. Anonymous (2020). Area and Production of fruit in Punjab. <i>Package and Practices for Cultivation of Vegetable Crops</i> . Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana pp 1-1 This reference is not found in the body section. Arora <i>et al</i> (2013) is written is body section but reference is Arora and Kaur (2013). Please check the spelling of Estellena in the body section. Please check the second line in Introduction.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjita Allay
Department, University & Country	Sambhu Nath College, India