

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128300
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the effect of phosphorus, molybdenum and Rhizobium inoculation on growth, yield attributes characters and yield of greengram (Vigna radiata L.) in loamy sand
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The significance of this abstract lies in its potential to influence agricultural practices, enhance understanding of plant-microbe interactions, promote soil health, and contribute to food security initiatives. By addressing key challenges in nutrient management and legume cultivation, this research aligns with broader goals of sustainable agriculture and environmental stewardship.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title may be as follows: Synergistic Effects of Phosphorus, Molybdenum, and Rhizobium on Greengram (<i>Vigna radiata</i> L.) Yield in Light Textured Soil	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is good, but ' <i>Rhizobium</i> ' should be capitalized (Genus name must start with capital letter), and 'greengram' should be written as 'Greengram.' The researcher did not follow uniformity.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. Overall, the manuscript appears to be scientifically sound, with the potential to contribute significantly to the fields of agronomy and sustainable agriculture. Addressing the points mentioned above would enhance the clarity and impact of the research findings in the scientific community.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. The researcher used literature up to 2019	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Little improvement in the language needed All the paragraphs look lengthy; they could be split into 2 to 3 paragraphs to enhance readability for the readers.	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Dheivanai
Department, University & Country	Madurai Kamaraj University, India