

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128300
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the effect of phosphorus, molybdenum and Rhizobium inoculation on growth, yield attributes characters and yield of greengram (Vigna radiata L.) in loamy sand
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A manuscript is considered useful and innovative when it presents original ideas, methods, or findings that contribute new knowledge or solve significant problems in the field. It offers fresh perspectives or approaches that can advance research, improve practices, or inspire further exploration.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes its ok	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The introduction provides extensive information about pulses, but it can be more concise. It should include the hypothesis of the study and conclude with a brief summary.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are outdated and not directly linked to your results. Please include recent references and ensure they are connected to your findings to provide more relevance and support for your study.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Its good but little bit need to improve	
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amol P. Solanke
Department, University & Country	MPKV, India