

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_128576
Title of the Manuscript:	In-vivo anti-plasmodia activity of Enantia chlorantha: A potential Plasmodium berghei transketolase inhibitor.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	There is need to modify title to make it more clear Suggestion: "In-Vivo Evaluation of <i>Enantia chlorantha</i> as a Promising Inhibitor of Plasmodium berghei Transketolase	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Instead of using heading <i>Introduction, Method, Results, Conclusion</i> under abstract write in <i>same paragraph of abstract and write in precise form.</i>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Comments are given in others comments	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Phytochemical Analysis of the Extracts: need to write extraction process	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tejinder Kaur
Department, University & Country	UIPS, Chandigarh university, India