

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_122367
Title of the Manuscript:	Pseudomonas species and Acinetobacter baumannii associated Urinary tract infection: Antimicrobial resistance patterns and therapeutic alternatives.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The resistance patterns of the organisms are very helpful in making the empirical antibiotic selection for uti as newer resistance patterns are seen in the emerging resistant pathogens. Useful in treating the uti patients	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Expand API	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes If Possible add inclusion and exclusion criteria and improve the methodology	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes the culture and sensitivity definitely plays an important role in treating the patients with certain pathogens when they are resistant to certain antibiotics identify the resistance pattern will reduce the burden on the patients and health care personal	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes but cite them with superscript and check the spelling of the references few are to be corrected	
Minor REVISION comments	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Spelling of a baumannii is different at various places Tables alignment Methodology to be improved References check the spelling	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vidyasagar Simhadri
Department, University & Country	Alluri Sitarama Raju Academy of Medical Sciences, India