

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_128727
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Phytoid Treatment System Efficacy for Irrigation Water Quality at Bindapur, South-West Delhi
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Reusing wastewater in irrigation is very necessary, as it is considered one of the safe ways to get rid of this polluted water, but before reusing this source of water, we need to evaluate its components and compare it with the standards, and from here we can conclude the importance of this research.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I consider it suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, informative and concise. However, the author needs to give percentages to explain the efficiency of the treatment plant.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most references are recent and old ones are also acceptable as they represent the main references, for most measures. Furthermore, the author needs to review this section, to unify the style.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is suitable and comes in a simplified way that enables the reader to browse the article easily.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Tis type of research is highly needed	

#### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Widaa Mohammed Elamin
Department, University & Country	University of Khartoum, Sudan