

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_128189
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION OF EQUATORIAL IONIZATION ANOMALY AT THE SOUTHERN HEMISPHERE IN AFRICA DURING MODERATE SOLAR ACTIVITY
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The data used for this study is local data from respective locations of African sector. This manuscript consists of nobility in finding. So, it has importance to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I SUGGEST A TITLE AS VARIATION IN TOTAL ELECTRON CONTENT AT THE SOUTHERN HEMISPHERE IN AFRICA DURING MODERATE SOLAR ACTIVITY	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is written in very simple way. It has to be improvised.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is correct. But its language has to be improvised a lot and has to be accordance with the journal.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, it is sufficient.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It has to write in scientific way rather than in thesis style.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Drabindra Pandit
Department, University & Country	St. Xavier's College, Nepal