

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_128190
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical characterization and evaluation of the antiradical potential of fruit extracts of <i>Tetrapleura tetraptera</i> (Schumach. & Thonn.)Taub., a medicinal plant used in the North of Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript use as primary work for the further research in finding of bioactive compound and their potential. This manuscript provides the ideas about which are and how much amount of secondary metabolites are available in this plant. Writing skill is good.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes but i suggest the if author can change the word phytochemical profiling and use the word phytochemical screening or phytochemical analysis is more appropriate word for this manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is good. No suggestion	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes but in table author use the coma instead of dot for yield and quantitative measurement of secondary metabolites. (Table 2 and table 4).	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Author can arrange the references in alphabetical order it is more helpful for reader.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Mention the concentration of standard molecule like 1mg/ml or whatever author used in this study. (Gallic acid, quercetin and tannic acid)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	KM Bhavna Singh
Department, University & Country	Gujarat University, India