

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_128441
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal Trends and Epidemiological Characteristics on COVID-19 at a Primary Care Setting: A Descriptive Study.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Certain sections, such as the discussion of seasonal trends, contain redundant statements (e.g., the repeated explanation of winter and summer peaks). Streamlining these sections would improve readability. Some sentences are overly complex or contain grammatical errors, e.g., "It is observed its seasonal peaks along with increased hospitalisation and mortality rates." Simplify and revise for clarity.</p> <p>The introduction lacks a clear articulation of the research gap. Explicitly state what is unknown or insufficiently studied about seasonal COVID-19 trends in Mexico City to justify the study. Include a more concise aim at the end of the introduction to set the stage for the study</p> <p>Some good work could be discussed like a) An intelligent fine-tuned forecasting technique for covid-19 prediction using neuralprophet model b) Blockchain enabled transparent and anti-counterfeiting supply of COVID-19 vaccine vials c) A hybrid convolutional neural network model for diagnosis of COVID-19 using chest X-ray images</p> <p>Compare findings more thoroughly with existing literature, particularly regarding seasonal trends in other regions or countries. Expand on how the findings can inform specific interventions at primary care levels. For example, describe potential age- or season-specific vaccination campaigns or resource allocation.</p> <p>Avoid introducing new information in the conclusion (e.g., mentioning KP.2, KP.3, and LB.1 variants without prior discussion). Focus on summarizing key findings and actionable recommendations.</p> <p>Ensure uniform citation formatting throughout the manuscript. Some references appear under-discussed or disconnected from the results. Include recent and region-specific references where possible to strengthen the study's relevance.</p> <p>Revise and streamline the manuscript for clarity and conciseness. Provide more visual aids (e.g., tables, graphs) to support key findings. Deepen the discussion on public health implications and align the conclusion with the study's results. Address methodological limitations and ensure a thorough comparison with existing studies.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Nitin Goyal</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Central University of Haryana, India</p>