

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_128441
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal Trends and Epidemiological Characteristics on COVID-19 at a Primary Care Setting: A Descriptive Study.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Several existing manuscripts have discussed Covid-19 a lot. However, in this case the manuscript determines the seasonal trends and epidemiological characteristics of Covid-19 in all hospitals in Mexico City. This means that the manuscript is suitable for publication to the general public because every characteristic of the place, person and time will be very different from other places, including other countries. Additionally, the manuscript uses the SIMEF system which was used to collect sociodemographic variables and comorbidities. This system is the latest in research carried out in collecting data from all hospitals in Mexico City.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title still does not state where the research was conducted. There is little input for titles such as Seasonal Trends and Epidemiological Characteristics of COVID-19 in Mexico City Primary Care Facilities: A Descriptive Study	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The overall abstract is very good. However, if you see that in the Conclusion section of the abstract, it is better to abbreviate and adjust what is discussed according to the title.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript that has been written is in accordance with scientific principles.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	So far that's enough	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is very suitable and can make it easier to find information and convey the contents of the message contained in the research manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Liza Virgianti
Department, University & Country	University of Diponegoro, Indonesia