

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_127468
Title of the Manuscript:	Petrographic characterization of the Mounio "Younger Granites" province, central portion of the Pan-African mobile chain located to the east of the West African Craton (Gouré, south-east Niger)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only based on '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Any Scientific paper that talks about granite is most important, so I think the manuscript is very interesting and well-developed.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of this article is correct but I think it is too long, You can change the title on Petrographic characterizations of the Mounio "Younger Granites" from Gouré, South-Eastern Niger, Eastern West African Craton	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, this abstract is badly established. It should have a logical development. In this abstract, the author talks about the results before even showing the aim of the study and methodology, which is also unacceptable. This abstract does not even show the main results of the work, in the work I have seen some microscopic investigations that can help to emphasize the results as well, with each lithology and mineral paragenesis (for other details see my comments in the text).	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	This part is fine	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I have attached my comments in the manuscript (in comments as well)	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are fine and sufficient for a paper	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The Language here is fine, but must be clarified	
Optional/General comments	See other comments in the manuscripts, please. Another thing the author should understand is that to confirm at which geological series the lithologies belong to, the geochemical analysis should be done and support this study, should there be no need to confirm just with a petrographic study. See other comments in the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mupenge Mutiyamuogo Parfait
Department, University & Country	Universite Officielle De Bukavu Drcongo- China University Of Geosciences Beijing, China