

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_128470
Title of the Manuscript:	Mushroom (<i>Agaricus bisporus</i>) Revolution in Bihar: A Critical and Systematic Review
Type of the Article	Review article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (<i>Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important manuscript since it deals with a rising enterprise in the farming sector known as "Mushroom" which caters to the everchanging demands of the urban populace, thus providing alternative livelihood opportunity for the challenging livelihoods of rural people	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is suitable for the context in the manuscript	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive enough with respect to the manuscript</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>With respect to case study genre, it is scientifically correct but there are some syntax errors which needs to be taken care of as following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How come 'RPCAU' be there in keywords since there is less marginal presence of it <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the first line of introduction, the term "approx." should be written in full form "approximately" • Per cent should be written instead of '%' unless it is within brackets, for example it is correct to write '15.5 per cent of the global population.....' instead of '15.5% of the global population.....' • Some spacing issues are there which needs to be corrected as it seems those statements were copy pasted from online sources, need to be taken care off and thus thorough check on each and every line of passage • 'Reign of King Louis XIV of France' instead of 'Louis IXV' <p>MUSHROOM REVOLUTION IN BIHAR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please rephrase this sentence containing in the format of 'not only...'but also' in this "This industry not only ensures a stable source of livelihood while making use of agricultural byproducts enhancing food security and promoting environmental sustainability" • Please rephrase this sentence properly to make a meaningful sense 'Other than equipping women with training and inputs in mushroom farming and encouraging them to be entrepreneurs, his programs are also targeted at women' • Please "This transformation has been driven by visionaries like Pushpa Jha and Prabhat Kumar" into this "The transformation has been driven by visionaries such as Pushpa Jha, Prabhat Kumar and others" 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>References are sufficient enough for the manuscript</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of English language is suitable for scholarly communication</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>There are no ethical issues in this manuscript No competing interest in the manuscript No suspicion of plagiarism</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Debi Kalyan Jayasingh</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India</p>