

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_128331
Title of the Manuscript:	Generalized stability of a general quartic functional equation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this manuscript is its positive impact on the scientific community. I agree with this manuscript because the author proposes that the problem develops a new trend, so I think this is a good idea to develop.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article matches the content of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and the conclusion is clear, which I think is a good direction for development.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Is the manuscript scientifically accurate	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are complete and up to date.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is appropriate for scholarly communication purposes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Văn An Lý
Department, University & Country	Tay Ninh University, Vietnam