

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_129053
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SEED HARDENING ON MORPHOLOGICAL, GROWTH AND YIELD PARAMETERS OF CHICKPEA (CICER ARIETINUM L.)
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript entitled 'EFFECT OF SEED HARDENING ON MORPHOLOGICAL, GROWTH AND YIELD PARAMETERS OF CHICKPEA (CICER ARIETINUM L.)' is a good piece of work by the author on chickpea crop.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, abstract is fine. Although, add few lines in the start of the abstract in order to give the brief idea of your proposed work.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More recent references can be added. Some references are very old (more than 10 years old), change them with the recent ones. Moreover, some more references can be added in the Introduction as well as discussion section as there are very few. There is a study on the chickpea with some biostimulants by Hasan et al. in year 2023. The authors could add those in support of their studies. One of these studies I am adding here: Hasan M, Naushin F, Shaheer H and Bagyaraj DJ (2023). Influence of sewage sludge, Rhizobium and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on nutrient uptake, growth, photosynthetic and biochemical attributes in Cicer arietinum L. Brazilian Journal of Botany ; 46: 1161-1176. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40415-023-00934-4	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Most of part of Introduction is lacking references. Add them. In results, Standard error or standard deviation should be added along with the mean values. Discussion part is very less. Add More of it with more references.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mudassara hasan
Department, University & Country	Aligarh Muslim University, India