

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_128133
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk Factors for extrapulmonary tuberculosis in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Bangladesh, being a part of South Asia, is among the countries with high tuberculosis prevalence. Information regarding the risk factors and their comparison between pulmonary and extra pulmonary tuberculosis will help in the planning of control programme in the country.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	A more suitable title may be 'Risk Factors for extrapulmonary tuberculosis in Bangladesh –a country with high tuberculosis prevalence'.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The study parameters, materials and methods were correct. However, the authors must provide either a master table which showing the actual number of patients (not the percentage only) in each parameter or separate tables showing the number of patients. If a particular parameter deals with a smaller population, it will result in lack of statistical power. Fig 9, where skin colour is used as a parameter, does not state which scale was used for the differentiation and method of differentiation based on skin colour.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No issue.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Soumya Dasgupta
Department, University & Country	Barasat Govt. Medical College and Hospital, India