

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_128670
Title of the Manuscript:	Discover the impact of sulfur and potassium on the nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur, and potassium concentrations in onion bulbs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	For the onion to be an important bulb crop, it is essential to have adequate nutrition management in order to ensure the growth of the bulb. Both the scientific community and the agricultural community can benefit from this study.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Effect of sulfur and potassium on the nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur, and potassium concentrations in onion bulbs	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>The abstract is not continuous in comprehensive format. The suggested corrections are did in main research paper, please find the corrections for better abstract</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	YES	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Need to add few more reference in discussion portion	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Rather of including figures, I am delighted to suggest the addition of a table; if two tables suffice, there is no need to incorporate six figures.</li> <li>2. In the introduction section, productivity provided in grams should be expressed in kilograms per hectare.</li> <li>3. In the materials and methods section, eliminate subheadings such as Planting Material, Agricultural Practices, and Statistical Design. Instead, consolidate the content into one or two cohesive paragraphs.</li> <li>4. Incorporate tables in bar graphs along standard deviations</li> <li>5. et al. and scientific nomenclature <i>Allium cepa</i> L must be italicized.</li> </ol> <p><b>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</b></p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Thota Joseph Raju</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences, India</b>