

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_128670
Title of the Manuscript:	Discover the impact of sulfur and potassium on the nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur, and potassium concentrations in onion bulbs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>Onion is important bulb crop and nutrient management is much required for bulb development, present study is meaning and its helpful to scientific and farmer community</b>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Alternative title: Studies on impact of sulfur and potassium on the nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur, and potassium concentrations in onion bulbs	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>YES</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	YES	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Need to add few more reference in discussion portion	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. instead of figures pleased to inform to add table, if two table is enough instead of adding 6 figures</li> <li>2. In introduction portion productivity shown in grams, express in kilo grams per ha</li> <li>3. In material and methods, avoid sub headings viz., Planting material, agricultural practices and statistical design, rewirte and make one or two paragraphs</li> <li>4. Add tables instead of bar graphs</li> <li>5. et al and scientific name Allium cepa L must keep in ialtic</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Harish M.S</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, India</b>