

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_127312
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydrogen Fuel Cells for Distributed Power Generation: A Review of Technologies, Applications, and Performance
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Green Hydrogen is fast growing & important in view of environmental protection.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Okay but it can be made better	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Technical Challenges are still need to be added in various stages like production, transportation, storage and safety.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient but few more recent references are to be added.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The abstract should be written still clear. Most of the references cited are before the year 2020. Most recent researches are not dealt. A few more recent reference should be added as this field is very popular and good literatures are available. The Authors focus much on the types of fuel cell, types of hydrogen etc. while the many challenges in this field are not dealt elaborately. For example, the challenges in the cost involved, infrastructure, transportation, standardization, impact of such project on land and water could have been dealt. Figure 9 is not quoted inside the text. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Thangalakshmi
Department, University & Country	Indian Maritime University, Chennai Campus, India