

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128966
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis on the implementation of policies encouraging graduates starting businesses in their hometowns: A survey of college students majoring in foreign languages in Hebei
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Though the research work title is effective; the reviewer is of the opinion that the work carried out is not comprehensive. Data collected is not explained clearly with statistical tools. Interview questions are not discussed in detail in the manuscript submitted and hence the work carried out doesn't give a clear picture to the readers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No Corrections Suggested	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Mixed survey approach is adopted in the Methodology, but clarity is missing related to tools applied to test the data collected, data collection approach mechanism followed. Hence, these needs to be addressed.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Figure 1 data label is in Chinese language, while the manuscript is in English. Pls look into this	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	More references need to be provided and that too of the latest ones.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Needs to refine English sentences used by the authors. Generic English only is adopted to present the paper. Need to follow research jargons in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Needs thorough revision to be accepted for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vedantam Seetha Ram
Department, University & Country	CMS Business School, JAIN Deemed to be University, India