

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_128172
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Application of the Susceptible Infectious Susceptible (sis) model for the appraisal of the disseminating potential of waterborne parasites in domestic water sources in Bamenda (Cameroon)</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has scientific bases that can be of help in health sector. It creates awareness regarding direct routes through which pathogens enter human bodies. Recommendations have been made on how to reduce these pathogens.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I have suggested an alternative title for the manuscript. See below <i>Utilizing the Susceptible Infectious Susceptible (sis) model to evaluate the risk for waterborne parasites to spread through household water sources in Bamenda, Cameroon</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not in order. It should follow this pattern: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Background</li> <li>- Purpose</li> <li>- Methods</li> <li>- Results</li> <li>- Conclusion/implication</li> <li>- Keywords</li> </ul>	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are so scanty. More findings should be explored.  Water and waterborne diseases: A review by Nwabor <i>et al.</i> , 2016 can be of advantage for this study	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It has not been stipulated by the author(s) but from my point of view, there is no competing interest	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Owoidihe Monday Etukudo
Department, University & Country	Topfaith University, Nigeria