

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_128172
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of the Susceptible Infectious Susceptible (sis) model for the appraisal of the disseminating potential of waterborne parasites in domestic water sources in Bamenda (Cameroon)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title of the manuscript is not interesting or important to the scientific community. Addressing this topic is not of a high scientific level and is also not acceptable to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title needs to be revised.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract section is not coherent and should be corrected.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is not scientifically acceptable.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is better to use newer references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are a few grammatical errors and typos scattered throughout the text.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maziar Naderi
Department, University & Country	Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran