

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_128817</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluating Constraints in the Public Distribution System: Insights from Rural and Urban Households in Telangana</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is of great relevance to the community in that the researcher has identified and established a problem and has proffered solution to the problem.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Public Distribution System: An Exploration of Constraints in Rural and Urban Areas"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is apt	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manual is scientifically correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not sufficient in that most of these references are over a decade, no recent reference, this should be looked into. However, if no one had done any research in that line of study, then the author can retain the references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Highly suitable for scholarly communications	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I want to sincerely commend the author for the job well done, however adopting the reviewer's comment can make the work more robust Also, i want the author to discuss the recommendation a bit more because that is the beauty of every problem identified. Recommendation tells of the solution to the problem you have identified.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Odunsi-Oyewole Olutosin Adefunke
Department, University & Country	Oyo state college of Education Lanlate, Nigeria