

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_128671
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of maize
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important because integrated nutrient management (INM) is a process that improves maize growth and yield by optimizing the use of nutrients from organic and inorganic sources. INM also improves soil fertility, increases nutrient uptake, increases yield, improves plant health, reduces environmental impact.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable. Only add word "the" next to "on" i.e. "EFFECT OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF MAIZE" and write the title in capital letters.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, the abstract of the article is not comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are recent but add more references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Add colon (:) or dash (-) in all the headings and subheadings. You may also add graphs of the table.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suman Vishwakarma
Department, University & Country	India