

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_128671
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of maize
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the impact of integrated nutrient management (INM) on the growth and yield of maize, a critical cereal crop. By highlighting the benefits of combining 75% RDF with 25% RDN through vermicompost, it emphasizes sustainable agricultural practices that enhance productivity while reducing chemical fertilizer dependency. The findings contribute to the growing body of knowledge on eco-friendly nutrient management strategies, offering practical implications for improving crop performance and soil health in maize cultivation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think the title is so old and general, need to change the title. “Optimizing Maize Growth and Yield Through Integrated Nutrient Management Strategies” . I suggest the above title as an alternative.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and good but needs to add the best and least treatments and their statistical values.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct and sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are very little used in the manuscript. So, add some recent citations that are already highlighted in the manuscript.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language quality is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	Add the highlighted comments mentioned in the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Midde Sai Kumar
Department, University & Country	Malla Reddy University, India